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ABSTRACT
Type I interferon (IFN-I) has potent anti-tumor effects against urothelial carcinoma (UC) and may be an alternative treatment option for patients who do not respond to Bacillus Calmette-Guerin. However, the mechanisms that mediate the IFN-I-stimulated immune responses against UC have yet to be elucidated. Herein, we evaluated the anti-tumor mechanisms of IFN-I in UC in human patients and in mice. Patient tumors from a Phase I clinical trial with adenoviral interferon-\(\alpha\) (Ad-IFN\(\alpha\)/Syn3) showed increased expression of T cell and checkpoint markers following treatment with Ad-IFN\(\alpha\)/Syn3 by RNAseq and immunohistochemistry analysis in 25% of patients. In mice, peritumoral injections of poly(I:C) into MB49 UC tumors was used to incite an IFN-driven inflammatory response that significantly inhibited tumor growth. IFN-I engaged both innate and adaptive cells, seen in increased intratumoral CD8 T cells, NK cells, and CD11b+Ly6G+ cells, but tumor inhibition was not reliant on any one immune cell type. Nonetheless, poly(I:C)-mediated tumor regression and change in the myeloid cell landscape was dependent on IL-6. Mice were also treated with poly(I:C) in combination with anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibody (mAb) to assess for additional benefit to tumor growth and animal survival. When used in combination with anti-PD-1 mAb, IFN-I stimulation prolonged survival, coinciding with inhibition of angiogenesis and enriched gene signatures of metabolism, extracellular matrix organization, and MAPK/AKT signaling. Altogether, these findings suggest IFN-I’s immune-driven antitumor response in UC is mediated by IL-6 and a collaboration of immune cells, and its use in combination with checkpoint blockade therapy can increase clinical benefit.

Introduction
Non–muscle-invasive bladder cancer (NMIBC) comprises ~70% of diagnosed urothelial carcinomas (UC).\textsuperscript{1} Although not immediately life-threatening, they have a propensity to recur and progress. Intravesical therapy, mainly in the form of Bacillus Calmette-Guerin (BCG), is administered to prevent recurrence, delay progression, and provide for bladder preservation to avoid the quality of life issues that accompany radical cystectomy.\textsuperscript{1,2} Despite its success as frontline immunotherapy, not all patients respond to BCG, and of those who do respond, over half will relapse with BCG Unresponsive NMIBC.\textsuperscript{3–5} Unfortunately, no effective second-line therapy for BCG Unresponsive NMIBC exists.\textsuperscript{5,4–6} Interferon alpha (IFN\(\alpha\)) is a pleiotropic cytokine that inhibits tumor growth directly as well as indirectly through activation of the immune system. These multifaceted anti-tumor properties make IFN\(\alpha\) a promising alternative therapy for UC. IFN\(\alpha\) monotherapy for NMIBC was previously studied demonstrating good tolerability and dose-related clinical effectiveness following BCG failure; however, its response durability was insufficient.\textsuperscript{7} With standard intravesical therapy, patients are unable to retain the instilled cytokine for more than 1–2 h, limiting local tumor exposure. To overcome these limitations, intravesical gene delivery of IFN\(\alpha\) through the use of adenoviral encoding IFN\(\alpha\) (Ad-IFN\(\alpha\)/Syn3, i.e. Instiladrin) was developed and early clinical trials have shown its safety and efficacy in treating BCG Unresponsive NMIBC.\textsuperscript{8,9} Clinical studies evaluating the use of intravesical Ad-IFN\(\alpha\)/Syn3 in the bladder are ongoing.\textsuperscript{9–10}

Because IFN\(\alpha\) effects are diverse, its mechanisms of actions have not been fully elucidated in UC. We have previously demonstrated that IFN\(\alpha\) gene therapy inhibits the growth of human tumor xenografts by an anti-angiogenic effect and tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis ligand (TRAIL)-mediated cytotoxicity.\textsuperscript{11,12} However since these previous preclinical data were generated in studies of nude mice, the immune mechanisms underlying the anti-tumor activity of IFN\(\alpha\) in UC
have not been defined. Type I interferons (IFN-I), IFNa and interferon-beta (IFNβ), promote antigen processing, presentation, and recognition by professional antigen-presenting cells, and induce production of cytokines and chemokines, which in turn recruit and activate a cytotoxic T cell response against the tumor.16,17 Preclinical studies in immune-poor melanoma showed that an IFN-I response induced by poly(I:C) (polyinosinic:polycytidylic acid) inhibited tumor growth and increased survival alone and in combination with anti-PD-1 mAb checkpoint blockade.18 In this model, the effectiveness of poly(I:C) was particularly reliant on interferon-gamma positive (IFNγ+) CD8 T and NK cells.18 With the numerous targets and potential pathways stimulated by IFN-I along with the variations in tumor immune landscape, the mechanisms of IFN’s actions could be distinct between tumor types.

IFNa has been shown to increase programmed death ligand-1 (PD-L1) and programmed cell death protein-1 (PD-1) expression on tumor and immune cell subsets9,20 Induction of PD-L1 and PD-1 has led to adaptive immune resistance by promoting T cell exhaustion and immune evasion.19-22 This consequence may decrease the effectiveness of IFNas a monotherapy, but in combination with immune checkpoint, blockade may lead to improved therapeutic outcomes. Indeed, acquired resistance to PD-1/PD-L1 blockade is associated with loss of genes encoding IFN receptor-associated Janus kinases, JAK1 and JAK2.23,24 Additionally, non-responders to checkpoint inhibitors such as anti-Cytotoxic T-Lymphocyte Antigen-4 (anti-CTLA-4) possess tumors with co-deletions of IFNa and IFNβ genes on chromosome 9p21 and defects in IFNγ pathway genes.24 These findings highlight the potential exploitable relationship between not only PD-1/PD-L1 and IFNs, but other checkpoint molecules as well.

Understanding the relationship between IFNas and immune checkpoint inhibition is important for interpreting immunotherapy resistance and improving the treatment of UC and other solid tumors. In this study, we show that Ad-IFNa/Syn3 induces an IFN response in the bladders of human NMIBC patients with corresponding increases in T cell and checkpoint molecule expression. To elucidate the immune mechanisms underlying IFN-βs antitumor activity in UC, we utilized local injection of the synthetic dsRNA poly(I:C) into MB49 tumors in syngeneic C57BL/6 mice. Poly(I:C) is used as an IFN-β surrogate as it stimulates the IFN-β pathway. Indeed, we found that poly(I:C) induces an IFN-β response, inhibits tumor growth, and increases immune cells in murine MB49 tumors but the antitumor activity was not specifically reliant on any one immune cell type, unlike the studies performed in melanoma.18 Interestingly, however, there was an important anti-tumor role for IFN-I induced IL-6. Furthermore, poly(I:C) used in combination with anti-PD-1 mAb therapy prolonged animal survival. Combination treatment also led to decreases in tumor angiogenesis, and increases in gene expression associated with metabolism, migration, and MAPK signaling. Here our work has identified that not only does IFN-I inhibit UC tumor growth by directly decreasing angiogenesis and inducing cell death, but also through IL-6 signaling and a collaborating network of immune cells. We also show that combination IFN-I and anti-PD-1 mAb checkpoint blockade increases survival, providing preliminary justification for combination IFN-I and anti-PD-1 mAb use in NMIBC patients.

**Results**

**Ad-IFNa Therapy in BCG-Unresponsive NMIBC Patients Induces an IFN-I Response in the Bladder and Increases Expression of T cell and Checkpoint Markers**

Because our previous preclinical data and Phase I trials have provided evidence that localized, sustained IFN could be therapeutically beneficial to BCG-Unresponsive NMIBC patients,8,10,15 a Phase II trial with intravesical Ad-IFNa/Syn3 was conducted in 39 patients.9 To confirm that localized Ad-IFNa/Syn3 treatment induced a sustained IFN-I phenotype, we measured cytokine concentrations in patient urines. Ad-IFNa/ Syn3 instilled on Day 1 significantly increased urine levels of IFNa2, CXCL10 on Day 4, with additional increasing trends in TRAIL, CCL2, IL-6, and G-CSF on Day 4. Significant increases in IFNa2, CXCL10 were still present by Day 12 (Figure 1(a)). Interestingly, the correlation of increased urinary IFNa2 and IL-6 levels from Day4:Day1 (D4:D1) was significant for 13 patients who exhibited a complete response (CR) to Ad-IFNa/α/Syn3 therapy, and not significant for patients deemed “non-responders” (NR, 26 of 39 patients) (Figure 1(b)). There was also positive correlation for increased G-CSF levels in relation to increased IFNa2 and CR at Day 4, but it did not reach statistical significance (Figure 1(b)). There was no positive correlation with any other cytokine from Day12:Day1 (D12:D1) in relation to increased IFNa2 and CR (Supplementary Figure 1). Interestingly, there are significant positive correlations of IFNa2 level vs. CXCL10 and TRAIL on D4:D1 for 26 of 26 patients who did not achieve CR, and IFNa2 vs. CXCL10, CCL2, and IL-6 on D12:D1 from 24 of 26 of these patients (Supplementary Figure 1). This may be related to a prolonged inflammatory response that may have deleterious effects on the patient and tumor as noted in other tumor models,25 but is an area for further investigation in NMIBC. Whole transcriptome RNAseq was conducted with matched pre-treatment and post-treatment tissue specimens from eight patients with BCG-unresponsive NMIBC, treated with Ad-IFNa/Syn3 in the Phase I trials.8,10 Gene expression of PD-L1, CTLA-4, and several T cell markers were markedly increased in two of eight (25%) matched tumor pairs following treatment with Ad-IFNa/Syn3 (Figure 1(c)). Less dramatic upregulation of one or more immune biomarkers was evident in four of the six additional tumors. In addition, histology sections from five of the eight tissue samples were also stained for CD3+ T cells. IHC analysis of these tumors showed an increase in CD3 T cells, localized in the tumor stroma, after treatment with Ad-IFNa/Syn3 in one of five samples (Figure 1(d)), and undetectable changes in the CD3+ populations in the other four samples (data not shown), exemplifying IFNa’s ability to enhance intratumoral T cells with variability in patients. Despite the heterogeneity of tumor response, none of the eight patients analyzed achieved CR at 12 months.

**Type I IFN activation by poly(I:C) impairs MB49 bladder cancer growth**

To determine how local induction of IFN-I impacts tumor growth in a murine model of bladder cancer, MB49 bladder
Figure 1. Effects of intravesical Ad-IFNα/Syn3 therapy on T cells and immune biomarkers in patients.

(a) Log2 observed concentration (Day 1 pre- Ad-IFNα therapy [D1], Day 4 post-Ad-IFNα therapy [D4] or Day 12 post-[D12]) of levels for cytokines indicated. Significant p-value (one way ANOVA, multiple comparisons) **p < 0.01 and ***p < 0.001 comparing D1:D4 and D1:D12 (Error bars: mean ± SEM; n = 39). (b) Spearman correlation between log2 expression of IFNα2 levels and respective cytokine indicated from ratio of Day 4 post-Ad-IFNα/Syn3 to Day 1 pre-treatment in 39 patient urines. Rank coefficient r > 0.5 indicates a positive correlation with IFNα2. Yellow boxes indicate significant p-value (Two tailed) *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001.

CR = Complete Response patients; NR = Non-Responder patients. (c) RNA from macrodissected matched tumors collected before or after Ad-IFNα therapy was analyzed by whole transcriptome RNAseq (Ion Torrent Ampliseq platform). Top panel: ratio of gene expression in post-treatment to pretreatment specimens. Note: gene expression increased significantly in two of the eight tumor pairs. Red = increased expression, green = decreased expression. Bottom panel: heat map displaying differential gene expression in each tumor pair. (d) Immunohistochemistry staining of CD3+ cells in a patient tumor (Tumor 1 (C)), pre- and 3 months post-treatment with one dose of Ad-IFNα/Syn3. Scale bar = 200 µm.
tumor cells were implanted subcutaneously into syngeneic wild-type (WT) mice, followed by peritumoral injections of established tumors with either poly(I:C) (100 µg) or PBS every three days; changes in tumor growth were monitored over time (Figure 2(a)). MB49 cells were chosen owing to characteristics reminiscent of non-muscle-invasive, non-metastatic UC.26

Treatment with poly(I:C) delayed MB49 tumor growth and significantly improved overall survival (Figure 2(a,b)). The anti-tumor effect of poly(I:C) was mediated through IFNα signaling as poly(I:C) did not induce tumor regression in IFNAR-/- mice (Figure 2(c)). Poly(I:C)-mediated tumor regression is likely mediated in part through direct effects of IFN-I as murine IFNα increased MB49 cell death in vitro at doses over 100 IU/mL (Figure 2(d)). For reference, one dose of poly(I:C) (100 µg) induced an average ~400 pg/mL of intratumoral IFNα, and showed clearance from the serum in 24 h (Supplementary Figure 2A, B). Similar to the observed effects with Ad-IFNα/Syn3 in human urine and tumors and in immune-poor melanoma (Figure 1(a–c)),18 poly(I:C) treatment of MB49 tumors also led to an induction of IFN-I responsive genes IRF7 and PD-L1 compared with PBS-treated controls, as determined by RT-PCR (Figure 2(e)). Furthermore, the increase in IRF7 expression significantly correlated with the up-regulation of CD274 (PD-L1) gene expression across all tumor samples (Figure 2(e)). These data show that poly(I:C) inhibits MB49 tumor growth and prolongs survival in an IFNα-dependent manner. These data also confirm in the MB49 model that IFNα has direct anti-tumor action, and that IFN-I induces PD-L1 expression, as previously reported.20 Other murine UC cell lines BBN975, UPPL1541, and UPPL1595 were also used to evaluate the in vivo response to poly(I:C); however, these tumor models exhibited spontaneous regression in PBS-treated controls, or inconsistent growth patterns per replicate, and were not deemed as viable tumor growth models (Supplementary Figure 2C–E).

**Poly(I:C) activates intratumoral innate and adaptive immune cells**

To investigate how poly(I:C) impacts intratumoral immune responses, we examined established MB49 tumors for gene expression and immune cell infiltration 24 h after the prior treatment (day 14) with peritumoral poly(I:C) as described. Poly(I:C) significantly induced the expression of IFN-I regulated gene CXCL10, with other trending gene expression increases in IRF7 and the effector cytokines TNFA and PRF1 (perforin)

---

**Figure 2.** Poly(I:C) Treatment impairs MB49 tumor growth while upregulating PD-L1 expression on tumors.

(a) Tumor growth of subcutaneous MB49 tumors treated peritumorally with PBS (closed circles) or poly(I:C) (open square) beginning 7 days post-tumor implantation and continuing every 3 days. (b) Kaplan-Meier analysis showing survival of mice from (a). (c) MB49 tumor growth curves of poly(I:C) or PBS-treated mice in WT or interferon alpha receptor knockout (IFNAR-/-) mice. (d) AnnexinV/PI staining for early (Annexin+PI-) and late (Annexin+PI+) stage cell apoptosis of MB49 cells treated in vitro with increasing doses of murine IFNα. (e) Correlation of relative gene expression for CD274 and IRF7 in control and poly(I:C)-treated MB49 samples determined by qRT-PCR. Error bars indicate mean ± SEM; n = 5 mice per group in tumor growth/survival and n = 3 for in vitro. *p < 0.05, ***p < 0.001 with Student’s t test or Log-Rank test (Kaplan-Meier).
We also observed a significant increase in the percentage of CD8 T cells and NK cell populations and decrease in percentage in CD4 T cells in tumor infiltrates (Figure 3(b)). Additionally, there was a consistent increase in Ly6G$^+$ cells and accompanying decrease Ly6C$^+$Ly6G$^-$ (Ly6Chi) and Ly6C$^-$Ly6G$^-$ (Ly6Clo) populations (Figure 3(b,c)), demonstrating that poly(I:C) alters the composition of CD11b$^+$ myeloid cell subsets. The CD8$^+$ T cells in the poly(I:C)-treated tumors showed a trend in increased expression of IFN$\gamma$ (Figure 3(d)), which was not statistically significant. This increased IFN$\gamma$ may be due to an exhausted CD8$^+$ T cell phenotype caused by the IFN-I induced PD-L1 expression in the tumors (Figure 2(e)). We could also observe similar effects in poly I:C-mediated changes in T cells in tumor tissue sections. After two treatments of poly(I:C) (i.e. day...
IL-6 is important for poly(I:C) anti-tumor efficacy, but no specific immune cell population is required

To understand the role of individual immune cell types in MB49 tumor progression and IFN-I-mediated antitumor responses, we examined tumor inhibition in mice deficient in various innate and adaptive cells. MB49 tumor growth in PBS-treated RAG-/- mice was increased compared to PBS-treated WT mice; however, tumor growth was equivalent in poly(I:C)-treated RAG-/- and WT mice (Figure 4(a)). Similarly, depletion of T cell populations with anti-Thy1.2 or anti-CD8 mAbs led to increased tumor growth in PBS-treated mice, but did not affect tumor growth in poly(I:C)-treated mice (Figure 4(a)). Altogether, these data indicate that while adaptive immune cells moderate growth of MB49 tumors in untreated controls, they were not critical for the poly(I:C)-mediated antitumor response. While IFNs can stimulate tumors in untreated controls, they were not critical for the antitumor response elicited by IFN-I likely represents the collective activity of multiple cellular components of the adaptive and innate immune response pathways.

11) the total numbers of intratumoral CD8+ T cells increased while CD4+ T cells decreased (Figure 3(e)). While these changes were not statistically significant, there was a significant decrease in the ratio of CD4:CD8 T cells compared with PBS-treated control mice (Figure 3(f)). Altogether, these findings suggest poly(I:C) promotes immune cell recruitment and/or expansion.

Though inconsistent for tumor growth studies, UPPL1541 and UPPL1595 tumors were analyzed for their immune infiltration with poly(I:C) treatment as compared with PBS-treated controls. Mixed effects of poly(I:C) were observed in UPPL1541 tumors, whereby poly(I:C) increased the percentage of total intratumoral CD45+ cells, CD11b+Ly6G+/lo and decreased the CD11b+Ly6C+ population similar to MB49, but T cells were not affected. In UPPL1595 tumors, which showed minimal growth inhibition from poly(I:C) (Supplementary Figure 2), the CD45+ population decreased with poly(I:C) treatment; however there were increases in the CD8 T cells, NK cells, and CD11b+Ly6G+ populations, similar to MB49 (Supplementary Figure 3A, B). The inconsistent tumor growth kinetics of both UPPL tumors despite both tumor lines exhibiting similar molecular subtypes and mutations27 may be a factor in their immune infiltrate differences, and led us to believe these models needed to be further investigated before use in our study.

We also examined the roles for IL-15 and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) in the IFN-I response due to the central role for IL-15 in driving IFN-mediated T cell and NK cell responses,28 and the reported use of iNOS as an anti-tumor effector produced by Ly6G+ neutrophils.29 In IL-15 receptor α deficient mice (IL15Ra/-/), both PBS and poly(I:C) treatments had similar anti-tumor effects as in WT mice indicating a minimal role for IL-15 in the poly(I:C) response (Supplementary Figure 4A). Drug-mediated inhibition of iNOS by N-iminoethyl-L-lysine (L-NIL) had no effect on tumor growth when animals were treated with poly(I:C) however, in PBS-treated mice, inhibition of iNOS reduced tumor growth (Supplementary Figure 4B). Because activation of innate cells can lead to production of IL-12, a cytokine important in the Th1 immune response and IFNα induction,30,31 we looked at the gene expression of both IL-12 isoforms and their heterodimeric receptor and found that poly(I:C) does significantly increase IL-12p40 and IL-12Rβ1 expression within tumors (Supplementary Figure 4C). Collectively these results suggest that the regulation of IL-15 or iNOS by IFN-I are not critical to the antitumor response of poly(I:C) in this model system, but there may be a role for IL-12 influencing the IFN-I induced Th1 response.

Due to the positive correlation of IL-6 with IFNα and patient response (Figure 1), we investigated the effect of IL-6 on MB49 tumor growth. The anti-tumor benefit of poly(I:C) was significantly inhibited in IL-6 knockout mice (IL-6KO), and survival of poly(I:C) treated IL-6KO mice was also significantly decreased as compared to poly(I:C) treated WT mice. (Figure 4(d,e)). In addition, poly(I:C) upregulated IL-6 protein as poly(I:C)-treated MB49 tumors had higher levels of IL-6 per mg of tumor as compared to PBS-treated controls (Figure 4(f)). As in earlier experiments (Figure 3(b,c)), poly(I:C) altered the myeloid cell landscape by significantly increasing the frequency of Ly6G+ cells and decreasing Ly6Chi and Ly6Clo cells within the tumors (Figure 4(g)). Interestingly, these changes in the myeloid cell landscape did not occur in tumors present in the IL-6KO (Figure 4(g)). Among tumor lymphocytes, the changes in NK and T cells observed in poly(I:C)-treated tumors in WT mice were still intact in IL-6KO mice, though the poly(I:C)-mediated increase in CD8 and decrease in CD4 T cells was slightly impaired in IL-6KO (Figure 4(h)). We also examined additional parameters of lymphocyte activation in the poly(I:C)-treated WT and IL-6KO mice. Within secondary lymphoid tissues, poly(I:C) increased the frequency of Ki-67+ NK cells and CD8 T cells in spleens and draining lymph nodes (dLN) but not in tumors, which was abrogated in IL-6KO mice (Supplementary Figure 5A,B, data not shown). Similarly, there was an increased frequency of Granzyme B+ CD8 T cells in dLN with poly(I:C) treatment that was impaired in IL-6KO mice (Supplementary Figure 5C). Overall, the anti-tumor response elicited by IFN-I likely represents the collective activity of multiple cellular components of the adaptive and innate immune response pathways.
Figure 4. Anti-tumor efficacy of poly(I:C) relies on IL-6 signaling and multiple immune subtypes.

(a) Growth of MB49 tumors treated peritumorally with PBS or poly(I:C) in Rag-1/- or WT mice depleted of specific immune cell populations with the indicated Ab or given control Ig. Anti-Thy1.2 mAb was used to deplete T cells and anti-CSFR1 mAb was used to deplete monocytes and macrophages; n = 5 per group. (b) Tumor growth of primary and secondary MB49 tumors in WT mice. Primary ("Established") tumors were treated peritumorally with either PBS or poly(I:C) beginning 7 days post-implantation. Secondary ("Contralateral") tumors were implanted 4 days after the primary tumors. Arrow indicates the beginning of treatment. (c) Tumor growth of the contralateral tumor similar to (b) in CD8 depleted mice. (d) Growth of MB49 tumors treated peritumorally with PBS or poly(I:C) in IL-6 knockout (IL-6KO) or WT mice. (e) Kaplan-Meier analysis of survival of mice from (d); n = 5. (f) Average concentration of IL-6 (pg/mL) per tumor weight (mg) in MB49 tumors from Day 14 tumors treated with either poly(I:C) or PBS; n = 5 per group. (g) Frequency (percentage) of Ly6G\(^+\), Ly6Chi, and Ly6Clo cells and (h) frequency of NK, CD4, CD8 T cells from MB49 tumors of WT and IL-6KO mice treated with PBS or poly(I:C) among gated CD45\(^+\)CD11b\(^+\) cells and CD45\(^+\) cells, respectively; n = 5. Error bars indicate mean ± SEM; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001 with Student’s t test.
Combination treatment with Anti-PD-1 mAb and poly(I:C) reduces tumor burden and prolongs survival

Given that IFN-I signaling induces expression of checkpoint markers such as PD-L1 (Figures 1 and 2) which may lead to decreased effector T cell function, 19–22 we reasoned that therapeutic blockade of the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway could further enhance the anti-tumor efficacy of poly(I:C). Subcutaneous MB49 tumor-bearing mice were treated with poly(I:C) and a PD-1-blocking mAb either as monotherapies or in combination (Figure 5(a)). Tumor growth was measured overtime until mice became moribund. Treatment with both single-agent poly(I:C) and combination therapy [poly(I:C) with anti-PD-1 mAb] significantly repressed tumor growth compared with anti-PD-1 mAb alone and IgG/PBS-treated controls (Figure 5(b)). However, we observed no significant difference in tumor growth inhibition between poly(I:C) monotherapy and combination therapy. Nonetheless, combination therapy significantly prolonged survival compared with poly(I:C) alone (Figure 5(c)) demonstrating IFN-I can work with checkpoint blockade for enhanced efficacy.

Combination treatment induces MAPK signaling, metabolic pathways, and reorganization of tumor microenvironment

To examine potential molecular changes between treatment groups, comprehensive gene expression analysis was performed via RNA sequencing and GSEA on total mRNA collected from day 17 tumors treated with PBS, poly(I:C), anti-PD-1 mAb, or in combination. GSEA of the RNAseq data from the poly(I:C), anti-PD-1 mAb, and the combination showed enrichment in viral stress response, IFN signaling, cytokine signaling pathways, and innate immune response in comparison to control tumors (Table 1). However, the single agent anti-PD-1 mAb as well as the combination treatment also enriched pathways promoting cell migration, differentiation, proliferation, and survival through MAPK, MEK/ERK and AKT signaling (Table 1). Combination treatment up-regulated additional pathways related to collagen formation, extracellular matrix formation, and cell-cell signaling (Table 1). Comparing the poly(I:C) and combination treated groups to each other, metabolic pathways for glucokinase regulation and fatty acid oxidation (FAO) and synthesis were enriched in the combination group (data not shown).

Figure 5.: Blockade of PD-1/PD-L1 pathway reduces tumor burden and prolongs survival in poly(I:C) treated mice.
(a) Experimental strategy for combination therapy for s.c. engrafted MB49 tumors for peritumoral poly(I:C) and anti-PD-1 mAb (i.p.). (b) Averaged tumor growth of mice treated with either single agent poly(I:C) or anti-PD-1 mAb, poly(I:C) plus anti-PD-1 mAb, or control IgG plus PBS or control observation. (c) Kaplan-Meier analysis of survival of mice from (b). Error bars indicate mean ± SEM, n = 10; *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001 with Student’s t test or Log-Rank test; Graphs representative of three separate trials.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Reactome Name</th>
<th>Process category</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Number of genes</th>
<th>NES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poly(I:C)</td>
<td>Activation of genes by ATF4</td>
<td>Signaling</td>
<td>Transcription factor; response to ER stress, PERK signaling</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PERK regulated gene expression</td>
<td>Signaling</td>
<td>Integrated stress response and protein folding</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interferon γ signaling</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Type II IFN signaling</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toll receptor cascades</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>TLR stimulated immune signaling</td>
<td>109</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class I MHC mediated antigen processing/presentation</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Innate and adaptive immune recognition of antigen</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRAF6 mediated IRF7 activation</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Viral, IFN response</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trans golgi network vesicle budding</td>
<td>Pathway</td>
<td>Secretory pathway for synthesized proteins</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ER Phagosome pathway</td>
<td>Pathway</td>
<td>Cell death pathway</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latent infection of homo sapiens with mycobacterium tuberculosis</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Innate immune effectors</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigen processing cross presentation</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Antigen presentation</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antigen presentation, folding, assembly, and peptide loading of Class I MHC</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Antigen presentation</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Innate immune system</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Antigen presentation, folding, assembly, and peptide loading of Class I MHC</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>1.58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* IL-1 signaling</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Antigen presentation, folding, assembly, and peptide loading of Class I MHC</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1.63/1.68</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Nucleotide binding domain Leucine rich repeat containing receptor NLR signaling pathways</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Antigen presentation, folding, assembly, and peptide loading of Class I MHC</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.59/1.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* NOD1/2 signaling pathway</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Antigen presentation, folding, assembly, and peptide loading of Class I MHC</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.58/1.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Interferon signaling</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Interferon signaling</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>1.88/1.77/1.77</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Interferon α,β signaling</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Type I IFN signaling</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>1.77/1.65/1.62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Cytokine signaling in immune system</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Cytokine signaling</td>
<td>224</td>
<td>1.75/1.77/1.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Antiviral mechanism by IFN stimulated genes</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>Antiviral stress response through IFN</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>1.72/1.99/1.97</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>+ Negative regulators of RIG-I MDAS signaling</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling, viral response</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1.59/1.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-PD-1 mAb</td>
<td>Development</td>
<td>Cell adhesion molecules</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Signaling by ILs</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling, viral response</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>1.57</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEMA4D in semaphorin signaling</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling, viral response</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.69/1.58/1.55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myogenesis</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling, viral response</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet aggregation plug formation</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling, viral response</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JNK, C-JUN Kinases phosphorylation and activation mediated by activated human TAK1</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling, viral response</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAP Kinase activation in TLR cascade</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling, viral response</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.64/1.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># SEMA4D induced cell migration and growth cone collapse</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling, viral response</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>1.61/1.63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># SHC1 events in ERBB4 signaling</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling, viral response</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>1.56/1.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># MAPK targets/Nuclear events mediated by MAP Kinases</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling, viral response</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>1.52/1.45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># Signaling by PDGF</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling, viral response</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly(I:C) + α-PD-1 mAb</td>
<td>Pre notch processing in golgi</td>
<td>Immune</td>
<td>NOD-like receptor signaling, viral response</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.71</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Pathways are up-regulated in both poly(I:C) and anti-PD-1 treated groups.
# Pathways are up-regulated in both anti-PD-1 and combination poly(I:C)+anti-PD-1 treated groups.
+ Pathways are up-regulated in poly(I:C), anti-PD-1, and combination treated groups.
- Normalized enrichment score (NES); up-regulated pathways defined as (NES) > 0
* Pathways are up-regulated in both poly(I:C) and anti-PD-1 treated groups.
# Pathways are up-regulated in both anti-PD-1 and combination poly(I:C)+anti-PD-1 treated groups.
+ Pathways are up-regulated in poly(I:C), anti-PD-1, and combination treated groups.
- Normalized enrichment score (NES); up-regulated pathways defined as (NES) > 0
Figure 6.: Poly(I:C) and anti-PD-1 mAb combination therapy promotes gene expression associated with survival, metabolism, and Th-1 type anti-tumor immunity and decreases angiogenesis.

(a) Heatmap illustrating normalized (log2) gene expression patterns from MB49 whole tumor lysates treated with either PBS+IgG Ab, poly(I:C), anti-PD-1 mAb, or poly(I:C)+anti-PD-1 mAb; RNA was isolated from tumors 17 days post-implantation (four treatments) (Figure 5a). Each column represents one mouse. (b) Average relative gene expression of indicated genes associated with effector function, fatty acid oxidative metabolism, glycolysis, and AKT, MEK/ERK pathway from the four treatment arms (n = 4 per group). (c) IHC staining for CD31 (PECAM-1) in end point tumors from 38 days post-implantation (11 treatments); Scale bar = 100 µm. Image is representative of three tumors per treatment group. (d) Quantified microvessel density (MVD) averaged from IHC CD31-stained tumors (c) (n = 3 per group). All values normalized by DeSeq and log2 transformed (heatmap). Error bars indicate mean ± SEM, n = 4 per group. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01 with Student’s t test.
Looking more closely at effects on individual genes, we found numerous genes related to IFN pathway signaling (Figure 6(a)), as well as adaptive and innate effector cell cytotoxicity such as Granzyme B (GZMB) were significantly increased in the poly(I:C) treated group, and more modestly increased in the anti-PD-1 mAb and combination treated groups, in comparison to control IgG/PBS treated tumors (Figure 6(a,b)). As expected, there were also increases in gene expression for immune suppressive molecules in poly(I:C), anti-PD-1 mAb, and combination treated mice, including ARG1 and ARG2 (Arginase 1 and 2), IDO, and CD274 (PD-L1) (data not shown). Exploring the GSEA-identified upregulated metabolic pathways, we found poly(I:C) induced expression of glucose transporter GLUT1, and all treatment groups increased expression of glycolysis enzymes HK2 and GCK in comparison to PBS/IgG control (Figure 6(a, b)). Interestingly, while poly(I:C) decreased tumor expression of genes related to fatty acid catabolism and synthesis such as FASN, ACACA, and ALCY in comparison to PBS control, anti-PD-1 mAb and combination treatment significantly rescued their expression (Figure 6(a,b)). We also observed increased MAPK signaling genes in all treated groups in comparison to control, and decreased expression of VEGF, MMP9, and EGF. Similar trends in gene expression were also observed in the eight matched pre- and post-Ad-IFNα/Syn3 treated patient tumors from Figure 1(c) (Supplementary Figure 6). Many of the IFN response and immune cell-mediated cytotoxicity genes such as CXCL10, CCL5, CCL4, CCL2, PRF1, CD8A, and NFATC1 were induced in at least four of the eight patient samples post-Ad-IFNα. Interestingly, four of eight patients also had an increase in IL-6 gene expression. There was also similar decreased tumor expression of genes related to fatty acid catabolism (FASN, ALCY, ACACA), amino acid transport, and VEGFA post-treatment. However, none of these eight patients were deemed as clinical responders (CR). To further investigate the decreased expression of angiogenesis markers seen in the RNAseq of MB49 tumors treated with poly(I:C), anti-PD-1 mAb, or combination, we performed IHC staining of CD31 on tumors from each treatment group taken at their end point (day 38) (Figure 6(c)). Poly(I:C) alone and in combination with anti-PD-1 mAb significantly decreased microvessel density (MVD) compared to control PBS/IgG treated tumors by ~50% (Figure 6(d)). Thus, while IFN-1 has significant anti-tumor action, combination therapy with checkpoint blockade activates additional pathways regulating the increased stromal influx and reorganization of ECM, inhibition of angiogenesis, glycolysis and fatty acid catabolism, and increased MAPK/ERK/AKT signaling that may be related to prolonged survival.

**Discussion**

In this study, we examined the immune mechanisms behind IFN-1-mediated anti-tumor responses in human patients with UC and in a murine model of UC. We also tested if the combination of IFN-1 with anti-PD-1 mAb checkpoint blockade provides additional efficacy. We found that IFN-1 induction by poly(I:C) in MB49 tumors inhibits tumor growth, increases longevity, and activates both the innate and adaptive immune systems. The poly(I:C) mediated tumor inhibition was also dependent on functioning IL-6 signaling, mirroring a positive correlation of IL-6 urinary cytokine expression and patient response (CR) to Ad-IFNα/Syn3. Combination therapy with anti-PD-1 mAb significantly prolonged survival of mice compared to control and single agent therapies, and was associated with inhibition of angiogenesis and enrichment of glycolysis and fatty acid synthesis gene expression, and extracellular matrix organization and MAPK/ERK/AKT signaling pathways. Altogether, these findings suggest IFN-1’s immune-driven antitumor response in UC is mediated by IL-6 and a collaboration of immune cells, and its use in combination with checkpoint blockade therapy can increase clinical benefit.

Treatment of MB49 and UPPL tumors with poly(I:C) resulted in an IFN-1 induced infiltration of highly diverse immune populations representing a multifaceted pro-inflammatory anti-tumor phenotype, contrary to the defined dependence of tumor-inhibitory poly(I:C) on specific immune subsets in studies performed in melanoma. Previous studies in experimental bladder cancer have focused on the necessity of the T cell infiltration for an anti-tumor response, and thus have relied on T cell checkpoint targeted immunotherapy. The potential link of angiogenesis, glycolysis and fatty acid catabolism such as VEGF/VEGFR2 inhibitors, can up-regulate PD-L1 mediated immunosuppression. The potential link of IL-6 to type I IFN-driven anti-tumor responses in both murine models and in patients sparks the need for further investigation of the role of this cytokine in IFN-1 treated bladder cancer.

We found IFN-1 pleiotropically affects multiple immune cell types, as well as directly inhibit tumor growth by decreasing tumor vasculature, similar to our prior IFN gene therapy work. Angiogenesis has long been recognized as a major hallmark of cancer progression and its induction can lead to tumor invasion. Recent reports show that antiangiogenic therapy, such as VEGF/VEGFR2 inhibitors, can up-regulate PD-L1 mediated immunosuppression. The use of antiangiogenic therapy combined with immune checkpoint blockade promotes higher lymphocyte infiltration and activity in several tumor models. This concept is currently being investigated in clinical trials for multiple cancers. As we have seen here, combination IFN-1 with anti-PD-1 mAb effectively decreases angiogenic gene regulators and reduces MVD, thus making it a prime candidate for inhibition of this hallmark pathway.

Here we show that combination treatment of MB49 tumors with poly(I:C) and anti-PD-1 mAb increases the survival of mice in comparison to either agent alone, however there is no significant difference in tumor size between single
agent poly(I:C) and combination treatment. Overstimulation of inflammatory pathways has been shown to produce a “cytokine storm” that can result in significant pathology and ultimately death.\(^{36,37}\) In a model of viral infection and poly(I:C), mice lacking an adaptive immune response (nude and Rag-/- mice) had higher mortality rates after virus/poly(I:C) dosage due to higher abundances of proinflammatory cytokines TNF and IFNγ in the serum days after infection compared to WT mice.\(^{38}\) Addition of T cells to the non-T cell, TLR3-stimulated system efficiently prevented this cytokine surge, suggesting that active T cell monitoring is necessary to temper an innate response. One hypothesis for why combination treated mice had improved survival over poly(I:C) alone is that the addition of anti-PD-1 mAb is able to reactivate T cells that have become exhausted from IFN-I stimulated PD-L1 expression, which results in tempering of the innate cytokine response invoked by IFN-I signaling. This warrants future analysis in the MB49 model.

GSEA and RNAseq analysis of MB49 tumors treated with either single agent poly(I:C), anti-PD-1 mAb alone, or combination therapy showed anticipated enrichment in genes and pathways related to IFN induction, viral stress response, cytokine production and innate immune activation, as well as MAPK and ERK signaling in comparison to PBS-treated control tumors. MAPK/ERK signaling traditionally is associated with cell survival, proliferation, and differentiation. However, there is evidence that ERK signaling has pro-apoptotic functions in response to damage stimuli,\(^{39}\) and this mechanism may add to the immune component of the IFN-I anti-tumor response, providing further survival benefit demonstrated in our study.

Previously published studies identified that blockade of the PD-1/PD-L1 axis reverses T cell exhaustion, re-inducing glycolysis and anabolic metabolism to produce a more active state.\(^{40,41}\) In our work, we see that poly(I:C), anti-PD-1 mAb, and combination treated groups have increased levels of enzymes involved in glycolysis and the TCA cycle. Interestingly, we also found in comparison to poly(I:C) treatment alone, the addition of anti-PD-1 mAb enriched for extracellular matrix reorganization, collagen formation, and increased genes related to FAO and fatty acid synthesis such as FASN, ACLY, and ACACA. Increasing mitochondrial FAO metabolism in T cells has been shown to favor the formation of long-lived memory T cells.\(^{42,43}\) However, the whole tumor RNA analyzed here is likely more reflective of the tumor genome than the immune microenvironment. Since and fatty acid synthesis and glycolysis are necessary for cellular growth and proliferation,\(^{44}\) our analysis suggests that combination treated tumors are upregulating pathways of proliferation in the tumor cells while simultaneously inhibiting tumor growth. Upregulation of expression of genes involved in ECM remodeling and mesenchymal transition has been shown to be a marker of resistance to anti-PD-1 therapy (innate anti-PD-1 resistance, IPRES).\(^{45}\) The possibility of combination therapy upregulating mechanisms of resistance pathways will need to be further explored in our model.

Recent US Food and Drug Administration approval of atezolizumab (anti-PD-L1mAb) and nivolumab (anti-PD-1mAb) for treatment of metastatic urothelial carcinoma\(^{46}\) has brought into question the role of immune therapy earlier in the treatment of UC. Our analysis of patients who have undergone Ad-IFNa/Syn3 (Instiladrin) therapy showed upregulation of cytokines key for immune infiltration, T cell markers, and PD-L1 (and CTLA-4) immune evasion markers, suggesting that these patients may be prime candidates for PD-1 blockade in addition to their gene therapy. Our studies in the MB49 model of UC likewise showed upregulation of cytokines, immune cells, and immune evasion markers after poly(I:C) (IFN-I) treatment making it a relevant preclinical model for comparison to patients. Our observation that combination treatment with poly(I:C) and anti-PD-1mAb prolonged survival of mice in a model reminiscent of invasive bladder carcinoma corresponds to results seen in immune-poor melanomas.\(^{18}\) It also highlights the importance of IFN therapy and the immune inhibitory PD-L1/PD-1 pathway in vivo.

In summary, our group’s gene therapy work with Ad-IFNa/Syn3 instillations utilizes the effectiveness of type I IFN to stimulate both the innate and adaptive immune cell subsets locally and sustainably. The improved survival we found with poly(I:C) treatment in combination with anti-PD-1mAb therapy demonstrates the utility of targeting multiple cell types and signaling pathways to improve therapeutic outcome. Our results provide a preclinical conceptual example for using type I IFN activation to increase the therapeutic benefit of PD-1 blockade for bladder cancer patients, as well as a rationale for pursuing further studies in NMIBC to optimize a treatment protocol. Due to recent work indicating that MB49 UC cells are more mesenchymal-like than urothelial-like cells,\(^{27}\) we are in the process of optimizing the N-butyl-N-(4-hydroxybutyl)-nitrosamine (BBN) and hRAS murine NMIBC models\(^{47}\) to aid in understanding the mechanism(s) of Instiladrin’s antitumor activity. These models will allow for testing of intravesical therapy with murine adenoviral IFNa vectors in NMIBC-like pathologies, and determining if similar immune mechanisms are active with intravesical gene therapy. These studies will be done in preparation for a clinical trial combining Instiladrin with a checkpoint inhibitor.

**Materials and methods**

**Patient samples**

Patient specimens utilized in this study were collected from previous Phase I, Phase Ib, and Phase II clinical trials with adenoviral interferon-α2b formulated with Syn3 (Ad-IFNa/Syn3) with patient eligibility, treatment, and specimen collection approved by the University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center institutional review board.\(^{8–10}\)

**Mice**

Wild-type male C57BL/6j mice, IL-6KO mice, and RAG-/- mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). All gene-deficient mice used are on the C57BL/6 background. IFNAR/-/- mice were provided by Dr. Paul W. Dempsey (Department of Microbiology and...
Molecular Genetics, University of California, Los Angeles) and Dr. Tadatsugu Taniguchi (Department of Immunology, Tokyo University, Japan) to Dr. W. Overwijk and crossed to the C56BL/6 background. IL15Ra−/− mice were originally generated by and obtained from Dr. Averil Ma through Dr. Leo Lefrancois and crossed to the C57BL/6 background. All animal experiments were performed according to the institutional guidelines for the care and use of laboratory animals.

**Cell lines and treatment in vitro**

MB49-GFP/luciferase murine bladder cancer cells were generously donated by Dr. Robert Svatek (the University of Texas Health San Antonio). Cells were grown in culture in modified Eagle medium containing 10% fetal bovine serum and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. MB49 bladder cancer cells were seeded in six-well plates and treated with 0–10,000 IU/mL recombinant murine IFNα (PBL Assay Science, Piscataway, NJ). After 24 h of stimulation, cell death and apoptosis were analyzed by a combined PI/Annexin V (APC) assay (Invitrogen [Thermo Fisher Scientific], Carlsbad, CA), and analyzed by flow cytometry whereby early apoptosis (Annexin+PI−) and late apoptosis (Annexin−PI+) were quantified (n = 2 biological replicates). UPPL1541 and UPPL1595 cell lines are established from a spontaneous primary bladder tumor in an Uroplakin-Cre driven PTEN/P53 knockout genetically engineered mouse model and were generously provided by Dr. William Kim (UNC Chapel Hill).

**Tumor transplantation**

Mice were injected subcutaneously into the right flank with 1 × 10^5 MB49 bladder cancer cells (1 × 10^6 or 1 × 10^7 for UPPL1595 and UPPL1541, respectively). For analysis of abscopal effect, following primary tumor injection of MB49 on right flank, a secondary tumor (1 × 10^5 MB49 cells) was injected in the left flank 4 days later. Tumor development was monitored by palpation and fluorescent imaging with the IVIS Spectrum In Vivo Imaging System and Living Image software (PerkinElmer, Waltham, MA). Mice were randomized into treatment groups on the basis of fluorescent intensity and palpated tumor size at day 6 after implantation. From day 6 on, tumor size was measured every 3 days by caliper and was recorded as Area [L × W] in millimeters. Mice with tumors exceeding 20 mm in diameter or with large ulcerations were deemed moribund and euthanized. Experiments were performed in groups of five or more mice and repeated at least twice.

**Treatment of mouse tumors and depletions**

When transplanted bladder tumors became palpable, poly(I:C) (100 µg; Invivogen, San Diego, CA) was injected peritumorally beginning on Day 6 or 7 and continued every 3 days until mice were deemed moribund, or for tumor analysis after a total of two, three, or four treatments as denoted in Figures 2–5. Therapeutic blockade of PD-1 was performed using rat anti-mouse PD-1 mAb (200 µg, i.p., clone RMP1-14; BioXcell, West Lebanon, NH) or control-rat IgG mAb (200 µg, i.p.; Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories Inc., West Grove, PA) every 3 days in conjunction with poly(I:C) treatment. Antibody-mediated depletion of T cells or natural killer cells was induced with rat anti-mouse Thy1.2 mAb (300 µg, i.p. clone 30H12; BioXcell), rat anti-mouse CD8 mAb (300 µg, i.p. clone 2.43; BioXcell), or rat anti-mouse Nk1.1 mAb (300 µg, i.p. clone PH136; BioXcell) delivered two times, 1 week apart. Ly6G and CSFR1 mAbs (400 µg, i.p. clones IA8 and AFS98, respectively; BioXcell) were given three times a week until mouse morbidity. Greater than 85% depletions of target cells were confirmed by flow cytometry of peripheral blood samples taken 1–2 days after Ab treatment. Efficiency of cell depletions is represented in Supplementary Figure 7. All treatments and depletions were performed in Wild-type male C57BL/6J mice, male IL-6KO mice (B6.129S2-Il6tm1Kopf/J), and male RAG−/− (B6.129S7-Rag1tm1Mom/J) mice (The Jackson Laboratory; Bar Harbor, ME).

**T cell stimulation**

For intracellular IFNγ staining, MB49 tumor-infiltrating immune cells were isolated by Percoll gradient and plated into six-well plates coated with anti-CD3 antibody, incubated in RPMI with 10% fetal bovine serum with protein transport inhibitors GolgiStop and GolgiPlug (2 µg/mL; BD Biosciences, Franklin Lakes, NJ) and IL-6 (R&D Mouse IL-6 Quantikine ELISA Kit, M6000B; Minneapolis, MN). Samples were run in duplicate and ELISA was performed according to manufacturer instructions. Plates were read on Molecular Devices Spectra Max Plus384 plate reader.

**Murine cytokine analysis**

Murine cytokines were measured by ELISA: IFNα (PBL VeriKine Mouse Interferon Alpha ELISA kit, 42120–1; Piscataway, NJ) and IL-6 (R&D Mouse IL-6 Quantikine ELISA Kit, M6000B; Minneapolis, MN). Samples were run in duplicate and ELISA was performed according to manufacturer instructions. Plates were read on Molecular Devices Spectra Max Plus384 plate reader.

**Immunohistochemistry and immunofluorescence staining**

Mouse tumors were fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, and sectioned by the Research Histology Core Laboratory at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center. Patient tumors were isolated and processed according to the phase I trial protocol and obtained from MD Anderson. Immunohistochemistry was performed with either rat anti-mouse CD31 mAb (SZ31, Dianova, Hamburg, Germany) or rabbit anti-human CD3 pAb (A0452, Dako [Agilent], Santa Clara, CA) followed by rabbit anti-rat HRP- or goat anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary antibody, respectively (Bio-rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) and the DAB peroxidase substrate kit (Vector Laboratories, Inc. Burlingame, CA). Sections were then counterstained with hematoxylin. Tissue sections were blindly quantified by manual
counting. Representative areas2,3 from each CD31 stained section (n = 12 in total) with most intensive microvessel density was captured under the light microscope at 200x (Leica). MVD per tumor section was calculated from the average count of CD31+ vessels per representative area, averaging total number from n = 3 tumors per treatment group. Total image length is 384 µm. Immunofluorescence was performed using rat mAb CD4 (GK1.5, Abcam, Cambridge, MA) and rabbit pAb CD8 antibodies (ab4055, Abcam). Sections were examined with a Nikon microscope and camera and processed in ImageJ.

**Flow cytometry**

Tumor-infiltrating immune cells were isolated and stained with fluorochrome-conjugated mAbs specific for mouse surface markers CD45, CD44, CD8, CD4, Nk1.1, CD11b, CD11c, Ly6C, Ly6G, CD19, TCRβ, F4/80 (BD Biosciences, Ebioscience/Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to standard procedures. Intracellular staining (T cell Stimulation) for IFNγ, and Ki-67 and granzyme-B was performed after permeabilization of cell membranes using the Transcription Factor Staining Buffer Set from eBioscience (ThermoFisher). All samples were run on a BD LSRFortessa unit and analyzed by FlowJoX software (Flowjo LLC, Ashland, OR).

**Reverse transcriptase PCR**

Tumor samples were harvested and immediately snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen. Total RNA was isolated using the mirVana miRNA isolation kit with phenol (Ambion [Thermo Fischer Scientific], Carlsbad, CA) with concentration measured on the NanoDrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer. One-step quantitative reverse transcriptase PCR was performed with diluted RNA, AgPath-ID One-step reverse transcriptase PCR reagents, and Taqman gene expression assay primers (Thermo Fisher Scientific) for the genes indicated, using relative expression of GAPDH as a reference gene. Samples were analyzed on the StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR System with StepOne Software v2.3 (Applied Biosystems [Thermo Fisher Scientific]).

**RNA-seq of murine tumors**

Stranded Total RNA sequencing was performed by the MD Anderson Sequencing and Microarray Facility (SMF) on the Illumina Hi-Seq 4000 platform. RNA samples were isolated from MB49 tumors using the mirVana miRNA isolation kit with phenol (Ambion [Thermo Fisher Scientific]), confirmed purity and concentration by the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies [Thermo Fisher Scientific]) and Nanodrop ND-1000 Spectrophotometer, and sent to SMF. Raw reads in FASTQ format were aligned to the mouse reference genome, GRCm38/mm10, using MOSAIK25 alignment software. Mapped raw reads were used to generate raw counts for each gene using HTSeq. Counts data were normalized across samples with DESeq50 and normalized expression values were analyzed by Morpheus matrix visualization and analysis software (Broad Institute) and Gene Set Enrichment Analysis (GSEA) software (Broad Institute). The up-regulated pathways in GSEA were defined by a normalized enrichment score (NES) >0. Only the top 20 pathways more enriched in each treatment group were listed.

**Urinary cytokine analysis**

Frozen patient urines collected from baseline Day 1 (D1) pre-treatment, and Day 4 and Day 12 (D4, D12) post-treatment of Phase I and II clinical trials with Instiladrin (Ad-IFNα/Syn3) by the SUOCTC working group were thawed and diluted before analysis with the Bio-Plex ProTM Cytokine, Chemokine, and Growth Factor Assay Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc., Hercules, CA). We utilized antibody targets from the Human Cytokine Standard Groups I and II. Samples were run in duplicate, and the plate was read with Bio-Plex ManagerTM software (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc) in the MD Anderson Department of Surgery. Observed concentration was log2 transformed, and graphed with baseline corrected to Day 1 levels of each cytokine. Patients with undetectable levels of cytokines were left out of analyses. Assessment: of the 39 total patients, 13 were deemed as “responders” (CR) as defined by no evidence of recurrence of a high-grade tumor by cystoscopy, cytology, or if clinically indicated, biopsy at 12 months. The other 26 patients were deemed “non-responders” (NR). Correlation between IFNα2 levels and other cytokines were deemed as moderately positive if r > 0.5 and strongly positive if r > 0.7. Positive correlation was considered statistically significant if p-value <0.05.

**Analyses of gene expression for patients treated with Ad-IFNα**

**RNA isolation**

The tumor areas in formalin fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) human specimens from the Phase I, Ib, and II trials with Instiladrin (Ad-IFNα/Syn3) were reviewed by a genitourinary pathologist. Total RNA from 8 matched (16 total) FFPE tumors was isolated using the High Pure miRNA isolation kit (Roche, Indianapolis, IN) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, for the deparaffinization, five to ten (depending on the tumor area) 10 µm sections were incubated with xylene for 5 min, followed by two ethanol washes and dried for 10 min at 55°C. The dried tissues were incubated with proteinase K for 3 h at 55°C degree, followed by two washes according to the instructions of the manufacturer. RNA was eluted with water and treated with DNase for 30 min at 37°C. DNase treated RNA was washed twice according to the manufacturer’s instructions and eluted with water. RNA purity and integrity was measured by NanoDrop ND-1000 and Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer and only high-quality RNA was used for library preparation.

**Library preparation and sequencing**

Whole transcriptome RNA sequencing was performed using Ion Torrent’s AmpliseqRNA platform (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and an Ion Proton sequencer (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Twenty nanograms of purified RNA was transcribed into cDNA using the SuperScript® VILO™ kit. Then cDNA was amplified using the Ion Ampliseq Transcription Human Gene Expression Core panel, followed by ligation of
adapters and barcodes to amplicons and purification. Purified libraries were quantified using the Ion Library Quantification kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Libraries were diluted to 100 pM and pooled in sets of eight. Pooled libraries were amplified on Ion Sphere™ particles (ISP) using emulsion PCR and enriched on the IonChef (Thermo Fisher Scientific). Template positive ISPs were loaded into Ion PI chips and run on the Proton instrument in the Genomics Core in the Department of Urology at The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center.

Bioinformatics Analysis
RNA-Seq gene expression analysis: Primary analysis of RNA sequencing data was performed using AmpliSeqRNA analysis plugin in the Torrent Suite Software. This plugin aligned the raw sequence reads to a human reference genome that contains 20,802 RefSeq transcripts (hg19 AmpliSeq Transcripomte_ERCC_V1.fasta) using the Torrent Mapping Alignment Program (TMAP). Then, the number of reads mapped per gene will be counted to generate raw counts files and normalized reads per gene per million mapped reads (RPKM) files. To visualize expression patterns, log ratios of POST/PRE gene expression of matched tumors were used for hierarchical clustering with Cluster and TreeView, or log-normalized expression values were analyzed by Morpheus matrix visualization and analysis software (Broad Institute). Assessment: of the eight total patients analyzed, none were classified as “responders” (CR) as defined by no evidence of recurrence of a high-grade tumor by cystoscopy, cytology, or if clinically indicated, biopsy at 12 months.

Statistical analyses
Statistical analyses of experimental results were evaluated with the GraphPad Prism 7 software. Two-tailed Student t tests, log-rank analyses, or multiple unpaired t tests were performed using averaged treatment group measurements at any one time point, as indicated. One way ANOVA with multiple comparisons was used for patient urine samples for each cytokine. Results were considered statistically significant when *, P < 0.05; ** P < 0.01; *** P < 0.001.
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